

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)**

**CR-24-0116-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v MELVIN WILLIAMS JR.**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 30-Apr-2024  
 Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent**  
 (Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Respondent**

Kent P Volkmer, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25809)  
 Thomas McDermott, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22589)

**Side 2. MELVIN WILLIAMS JR., Petitioner**  
 (Litigant Group) MELVIN WILLIAMS JR.

- Melvin Williams, Jr.

PRO SE

**CASE STATUS**

Apr 30, 2024.....Awaiting Petition for Review

Apr 30, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CR 23-0080 PRPC				
🔗 PIN	S1100CR201802705		Patrick Gard, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 30-Apr-2024 FILED: Request Extension of Time to Petition for Review the Court of Appeals Two Memorandum Decision (Petitioner Williams, Pro Se)
- 1-May-2024 Petitioner Williams filed a "Request Extension of Time to Petition for Review the Court of Appeals Two Memorandum Decision" on April 30, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,  
  
IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 15, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)